

## EVALUATING RETURN ON MARKETING INVESTMENT IN B2B DISTRIBUTION

**Jamoliddinov Fakhriyor Shodiyor o'g'li**

Researcher at Tashkent State University of Economics

ORCID:0009-0001-1013-6628

E-mail: [faxriyor.jamoliddinov@gmail.com](mailto:faxriyor.jamoliddinov@gmail.com)

### Abstract

This article explores the evaluation of Return on Marketing Investment (ROMI) in B2B distribution companies. It examines the unique challenges of attributing marketing impact in multi-touch, long-sales-cycle environments, and highlights methodologies for calculating ROMI at campaign, channel, and strategic levels. Emphasis is placed on integrated data infrastructure, analytical modeling, and cross-functional governance to optimize marketing spend, improve decision-making, and transform marketing from a cost center into a value driver. Practical examples and a maturity model illustrate implementation strategies.

**Keywords:** ROMI, B2B distribution, marketing effectiveness, trade promotion, CRM, multi-touch attribution, marketing analytics, resource optimization, sales performance, strategic investment.

### Annotatsiya

Mazkur maqolada B2B distribyutor kompaniyalarida marketingga sarflangan sarmoyaning qaytimini (ROMI) baholash tahlil qilinadi. Uzoq muddatli, ko'p bosqichli sotuv sikllarida marketing ta'sirini aniqlashning unikal qiyinchiliklari va ROMIning kompaniya, kanal va strategik darajada hisoblash metodologiyalari ko'rib chiqiladi. Maxsus e'tibor integratsiyalangan ma'lumot infratuzilmasi, tahliliy modellashtirish va kross-funksional boshqaruvga qaratilgan bo'lib, bu marketing xarajatlarini optimizatsiya qilish, qaror qabul qilish sifatini yaxshilash va marketingni xarajat markazidan qiymat generatoriga aylantirish imkonini beradi. Amaliy misollar va tayyorlik darajasi modeli joriy etish strategiyalarini ko'rsatib beradi.

**Kalit so'zlar:** ROMI, B2B distributsiya, marketing samaradorligi, savdo promoaksiyalari, CRM, ko'p bosqichli atributlash, marketing tahlili, resurslarni optimizatsiya qilish, savdo natijalari, strategik investitsiyalar.

### Аннотация

В данной статье рассматривается оценка возврата на маркетинговые инвестиции (ROMI) в B2B-дистрибьюторских компаниях. Анализируются уникальные трудности при определении влияния маркетинга в условиях многоэтапного цикла продаж и подчеркиваются методологии расчета ROMI на уровне кампаний, каналов и стратегий. Особое внимание уделяется интегрированной инфраструктуре данных, аналитическому моделированию и кросс-функциональному управлению для оптимизации маркетинговых расходов, повышения качества принимаемых решений и превращения маркетинга из центра затрат в генератор ценности. Практические примеры и модель зрелости иллюстрируют стратегии внедрения.

**Ключевые слова:** ROMI, B2B-дистрибуция, эффективность маркетинга, торговые промоакции, CRM, многоэтапное атрибутирование, маркетинговая аналитика, оптимизация ресурсов, результаты продаж, стратегические инвестиции.

## INTRODUCTION

In the B2B distribution sector, where margins are often tight and competition is intensifying, the ability to precisely measure and justify marketing expenditures has become a paramount concern for commercial sustainability and strategic growth (Anderl, Becker, Von Wangenheim, & Schumann). Return on Marketing Investment (ROMI) serves as the critical financial metric that links marketing activities directly to business outcomes, providing a quantifiable assessment of the efficiency and effectiveness of marketing spend. Unlike B2C environments with more direct consumer response tracking, the B2B distribution landscape presents unique challenges for ROMI evaluation due to elongated sales cycles, multi-touchpoint influence across diverse stakeholders (e.g., procurement managers, store owners, category managers), and the complex interplay between trade promotions, relationship management, and logistical service (BCG). Traditionally, distributor marketing has been evaluated on simplistic metrics such as sales growth or budget adherence, failing to attribute revenue to specific initiatives or to account for the full cost of marketing execution (Bischof & Litfin). This lack of financial rigor can lead to inefficient allocation of resources, continued investment in underperforming programs, and an inability to demonstrate marketing's strategic value to the broader organization. This article examines the methodologies, challenges, and strategic importance of implementing a robust ROMI evaluation framework within B2B distribution companies. It argues that mastering ROMI is not merely an accounting exercise but a fundamental component of a data-driven marketing strategy, essential for optimizing spend, proving marketing's contribution to profit, and steering the commercial function toward the highest-value activities (Clark).

## LITERATURE REVIEW

The evaluation of marketing effectiveness has a rich academic and practical foundation. The seminal work on Marketing Productivity by Rust, Lemon, and Zeithaml provides a framework linking marketing assets to customer value and financial outcomes. ROMI conceptualization and calculation is thoroughly explored by Farris et al. in *Marketing Metrics*, which remains a key reference for defining and calculating marketing performance indicators. The challenges of attribution modeling in complex B2B settings are addressed in research by Anderl et al. and in industry guides by Google. Theoretical models like the Hierarchy of Effects (Lavidge & Steiner) and the Customer Journey concept are critical for understanding the multi-touch nature of B2B influence, which directly impacts attribution logic. In the context of trade promotion optimization, studies by Ailawadi & Farris and reports by NielsenIQ dissect the measurement challenges of short-term incentives. Furthermore, literature on Marketing Accountability by Pauwels et al. and on building a Marketing

Performance Measurement (MPM) system by O'Sullivan & Abela provides the organizational and governance blueprints necessary to move ROMI from theory to sustained practice within companies.

For a distributor, marketing investments encompass a wide array of activities, including trade promotion allowances, digital advertising targeting retailers, sales force enablement tools, CRM systems, partner portal development, event sponsorship, and content creation for B2B audiences (Deloitte). The strategic imperative for evaluating ROMI lies in transforming marketing from a perceived cost center into a demonstrable value driver. A precise understanding of ROMI allows leadership to answer fundamental strategic questions: Which product categories generate the highest return on promotional spend? Does investing in a digital self-service portal for retailers yield a positive return by reducing service costs and increasing order frequency? What is the incremental profit generated by a dedicated key account manager versus a general sales representative? (Forrester). However, calculating a clean ROMI in distribution is fraught with complexity. A primary challenge is attribution. A retailer's decision to increase orders may be influenced by a combination of a recent trade promotion, a positive service interaction logged in the CRM, a timely delivery facilitated by digital logistics, and a broader market trend—disentangling the precise contribution of a single marketing touchpoint is inherently difficult (Gartner,). Furthermore, time lag is significant; the impact of a brand-building campaign or a relationship-nurturing program may not materialize in sales data for several quarters, making short-term ROI calculations misleading (Gartner). Additionally, data integration poses a technical hurdle, as marketing activity data (e.g., campaign costs, email opens) often resides in separate systems from financial and sales outcome data (e.g., invoice-level profitability, retailer lifetime value), hindering a unified analysis (Google & ThinkWithGoogle). Overcoming these complexities requires moving beyond simplistic models to a more sophisticated, multi-touch attribution approach tailored to the B2B distribution context.

A robust ROMI framework for distributors must be pragmatic, aligning with available data while progressively increasing in sophistication. The foundational step is to move from evaluating Marketing Efficiency to assessing Marketing Effectiveness. Efficiency metrics, such as cost-per-lead or cost-per-sales-call, are useful for internal benchmarking but do not speak to financial return (Hanssens). Effectiveness, measured by ROMI, directly correlates spend to incremental financial gains. The core ROMI formula is: 
$$\text{ROMI} = (\text{Incremental Revenue Attributable to Marketing} \times \text{Gross Margin \%} - \text{Marketing Investment}) / \text{Marketing Investment}$$
 The critical component here is accurately determining the incremental revenue attributable to marketing, which is revenue that would not have occurred without the specific marketing activity (Järvinen & Karjaluoto). For distributors, this can be approached at three levels. At the Campaign Level, ROMI can be calculated for discrete activities like a targeted trade promotion. This requires establishing a baseline sales forecast (what sales would have been without the promotion) and comparing it to actual sales during and immediately after the promotional period, isolating the uplift attributable to the campaign after controlling

for other factors like seasonality (Kotler & Keller). At the Channel or Segment Level, ROMI analysis can evaluate investments in specific retailer segments (e.g., modern trade vs. traditional trade) or marketing channels (e.g., digital partner portal vs. field sales force). This involves allocating shared marketing costs (e.g., marketing staff salaries, CRM license) appropriately and analyzing the profitability trends of each segment over time (Kumar & Petersen). At the Strategic Initiative Level, ROMI can assess larger, cross-functional investments, such as implementing a new CRM or launching a loyalty program. Here, the analysis must capture both direct revenue impacts and indirect benefits like increased retailer retention, improved sales force productivity, or reduced cost-to-serve, often requiring a longer measurement window (Lenskold).

## METHODOLOGY

This study employs a descriptive and prescriptive methodology based on a synthesis of secondary sources. The research process includes:

- **Comparative Analysis:** Review and comparison of ROMI calculation methodologies, attribution models, and key performance indicators (KPIs) relevant to B2B distribution as presented in academic journals and industry reports.
- **Framework Development:** Creation of a ROMI maturity model and structured analysis tables (Table 1, Table 2) based on the synthesis of best practices and common implementation stages identified across multiple sources.
- **Case Synthesis:** Analysis of practical challenges and solutions documented in case studies and white papers from consulting firms specializing in B2B commercial excellence.

The findings are presented to provide both a diagnostic lens (the maturity model) and practical guidance (Table 1).

**Table 1.**  
**ROMI Analysis Across Common Distributor Marketing Activities<sup>1</sup>**

Marketing Activity	Primary Objective	Key Input Metrics for ROMI Calculation	Common Challenges in Measurement
Trade Promotion	Drive short-term volume lift for a specific SKU/category.	Promotional discount cost	Baseline forecast accuracy.
		Incremental unit sales volume during promo period	Cannibalization of non-promoted SKUs.
		Baseline sales forecast (pre-promo trend)	Retailer forward-buying (stockpiling).
B2B Digital Advertising (e.g., LinkedIn, trade sites)	Generate leads from new retailers or increase brand awareness.	Cost per click/impression	Long lead-to-revenue cycle.
		Lead conversion rate to active account	Multi-channel attribution (did the ad or the sales call close the deal?).
		Average lifetime value (LTV) of a new retailer	

<sup>1</sup> Source: Adapted from Forrester Trade Promotion Optimization Report (2022) and BCG Marketing ROI Framework (2024)

Marketing Activity	Primary Objective	Key Input Metrics for ROMI Calculation	Common Challenges in Measurement
CRM/MA Platform Investment	Improve retailer retention & cross-sell efficiency.	Software & implementation costs	Isolating the CRM's effect from other business changes.
		Change in retailer churn rate	Quantifying the value of improved data visibility.
		Increase in cross-sell revenue per account	
		Reduction in cost per customer contact	
Key Account Management Program	Deepen strategic partnerships & increase share of wallet.	Dedicated personnel & resource costs	Establishing a valid control group of similar accounts.
		Revenue growth from key accounts vs. control group	Attributing growth to the program vs. market factors.
		Improvement in account profitability (net of costs)	

The table 1 illustrates the practical application of ROMI thinking across different distributor marketing activities, highlighting both the necessary data and inherent measurement complexities.

### ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

For Trade Promotion, the biggest challenge is establishing an accurate baseline forecast; an inflated baseline will overstate incremental sales and ROMI, while a conservative one will understate it. The issue of cannibalization—where promoted sales simply replace sales of other items—must be estimated and subtracted to find true incrementality (Kotler & Keller). For B2B Digital Advertising, the focus shifts to the lead-to-revenue funnel. A simple cost-per-lead is inadequate; the calculation must extend through the entire sales cycle to attribute eventual revenue and profit back to the initial ad spend, which requires integrated marketing and sales data (McKinsey & Company). Evaluating a CRM/MA Platform necessitates a balanced scorecard approach. While direct revenue uplift from campaigns run on the platform is part of the equation, the true ROMI often lies in softer metrics like reduced churn and increased operational efficiency, which must be financially quantified (e.g., value of a retained retailer = their annual profit margin) (McKinsey & Company). For a Key Account Management Program, the gold standard is a controlled experiment, comparing the performance of a treatment group (with dedicated management) against a statistically similar control group (without). The difference in performance, net of the program's cost, provides the most defensible ROMI figure (LinkedIn Marketing Solutions).

Accurate and ongoing ROMI evaluation is not possible without building foundational organizational capabilities. The first pillar is Integrated Data Infrastructure. This requires breaking down silos between marketing, sales, and finance systems. At a minimum, a data warehouse or cloud-based analytics platform must

connect CRM data (marketing touches, sales interactions), ERP data (order history, product cost, margin), and financial data (campaign budgets, P&L statements) (NielsenIQ). The second pillar is Analytical Technology and Modeling. Basic spreadsheet analysis quickly becomes unmanageable. Distributors should invest in or develop dedicated tools for promotion optimization and marketing mix modeling (MMM). MMM uses statistical regression analysis on historical data to estimate the impact of various marketing levers (e.g., trade spend, digital ads, sales force size) on sales, helping to allocate budget for maximum overall return (Payne & Frow). The third, and often most challenging, pillar is Process and Governance. A cross-functional ROMI council—with representatives from marketing, sales, finance, and IT—should be established to agree on attribution models, review results quarterly, and approve major budget reallocations based on insights (Rust, Moorman, & Bhalla). Furthermore, marketing teams must develop financial literacy, and finance teams must develop an understanding of marketing dynamics, fostering a shared language focused on incremental profitability rather than just spend (Table2)s.

**Table 2.**

**Maturity Model for ROMI Evaluation in B2B Distribution<sup>1</sup>**

<b>Maturity Stage</b>	<b>Data &amp; Technology State</b>	<b>Attribution Approach</b>	<b>Primary ROMI Focus</b>	<b>Organizational Mindset</b>
1. Foundational	Siloed data; manual reporting in spreadsheets.	Last-touch or no formal attribution.	Campaign efficiency (e.g., cost per event).	Marketing as a cost; justification based on activity.
2. Developing	Basic integration of sales & marketing data; BI dashboards.	First-touch or simple fractional attribution.	Campaign-level ROI for major promotions.	Marketing as a necessary function; focus on proving value of big spends.
3. Advanced	Integrated data warehouse; dedicated analytics tools (e.g., MMM).	Multi-touch attribution (MTA) models.	Portfolio & channel-level ROMI optimization.	Marketing as a growth driver; budget allocated dynamically based on predicted returns.
4. Strategic	Predictive analytics & AI for forecasting and simulation.	Unified measurement (blending MMM & MTA).	Lifetime Customer Value (LCV) & long-term brand equity impact.	Marketing as a strategic investment center; ROMI integrated into all commercial planning.

This maturity model charts the evolution of ROMI capability within a distributor organization. Most companies begin at the Foundational stage, where marketing's value is anecdotal (Bischof & Litfin). Progressing to the Developing stage involves implementing basic technology and processes to answer the question, "Did this big promotion pay for itself?" [10]. The leap to the Advanced stage is transformative,

<sup>1</sup> Source: Author's model based on Gartner Marketing Measurement Framework (2023) and McKinsey perspectives on marketing analytics

characterized by the adoption of multi-touch attribution (MTA) or marketing mix modeling (MMM). MTA uses digital tracking to assign credit for a sale across all preceding touchpoints (e.g., email, webinar, sales call), while MMM uses statistical analysis of aggregate data. This allows marketers to understand the synergistic effect of different channels and optimize the entire marketing portfolio for maximum return, not just individual campaigns (Wiersema). The pinnacle Strategic stage involves predictive modeling and a focus on long-term brand and relationship equity. At this stage, ROMI evaluation informs not just tactical budget shifts but strategic decisions about market entry, product portfolio development, and partnership models, fully embedding financial accountability into the marketing strategy.

In the competitive and margin-sensitive world of B2B distribution, the ability to accurately evaluate Return on Marketing Investment (ROMI) is a critical competency that separates strategically adept organizations from those that merely spend on marketing. Moving beyond vague notions of "brand building" or "relationship management" to a disciplined, data-driven assessment of financial return is essential for justifying budgets, optimizing resource allocation, and aligning the marketing function with overarching business profitability goals. While challenges in attribution, data integration, and time lag are significant, they are not insurmountable. By adopting a phased framework—starting with clean, integrated data and progressing toward advanced analytical modeling—distributors can build a sophisticated understanding of how their marketing levers drive incremental profit. This journey requires investment not only in technology but also in cross-functional processes and a cultural shift that embraces marketing accountability. Ultimately, a distributor that masters ROMI evaluation transforms its marketing department from a cost center into a demonstrable engine for growth. It empowers leaders to make confident, evidence-based decisions, ensuring that every dollar of marketing investment is working as hard as possible to acquire, retain, and grow profitable relationships with channel partners, thereby securing a sustainable competitive advantage in the marketplace.

## CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

In conclusion, establishing a rigorous ROMI evaluation capability is not an optional analytics project for B2B distributors; it is a strategic imperative for resource optimization and demonstrating marketing's contribution to profit. The complexity of multi-touch, long-cycle B2B sales requires moving beyond simplistic models to embrace integrated data, advanced analytics, and cross-functional accountability.

Final Scientific Recommendations:

- **Start with a Pilot and a Unified Data Foundation:** Begin ROMI tracking on one high-visibility activity, such as trade promotions for a specific category. Concurrently, initiate a project to create a unified data repository linking marketing activity, sales transactions, and customer master data. This builds credibility and infrastructure in parallel.
- **Establish a Cross-Functional ROMI Governance Council:** Form a team with representatives from Marketing, Sales, Finance, and IT. This council should agree on

standardized definitions, attribution rules, and a quarterly review rhythm to translate ROMI insights into budget and tactical decisions.

- Progress from Deterministic to Probabilistic Measurement: As maturity grows, evolve from last-click attribution to multi-touch models. Invest in marketing mix modeling (MMM) to understand the aggregate impact of all marketing levers and optimize the overall budget allocation, not just individual campaign tweaks.

- Incentivize Based on Incremental Profitability, Not Just Revenue: Align marketing and sales incentives with metrics that reflect true incrementality and profitability, such as ROMI or Gross Margin Return on Marketing Investment (GMROMI), rather than top-line sales growth alone. This ensures activities are evaluated on their net contribution to the business.

By implementing these recommendations, B2B distributors can transform their marketing function from a cost centre into a demonstrably accountable engine for profitable growth, ensuring that every marketing dollar is invested with clarity and purpose.

## REFERENCES

1. Anderl, E., Becker, I., Von Wangenheim, F., & Schumann, J. (2016). Multi-touch attribution in multi-channel marketing. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 33(3), 491–507.
2. BCG. (2024). *Marketing ROI Framework for B2B Sales & Distribution*. Boston Consulting Group.
3. Bischof, A., & Litfin, T. (2020). Measuring marketing effectiveness in B2B. *Business Research*, 13, 123–145.
4. Clark, B. H. (2021). Marketing performance measurement: Evaluating ROI in complex channels. *Journal of Business Strategy*, 42(2), 103–115.
5. Deloitte. (2023). *Strategic Marketing Investment: Long-term Value Measurement*.
6. Forrester. (2022). *Trade Promotion Optimization Best Practices for Distributors*. Forrester Research Report.
7. Gartner. (2023). *Marketing Measurement and Governance Framework*. Gartner Research.
8. Gartner. (2023). *State of Marketing Data & Analytics Report*. Gartner Research.
9. Google & ThinkWithGoogle. (2022). *Unified Measurement: MMM + MTA Integration Framework*.
10. Hanssens, D. M. (2018). *Marketing Mix Modeling and Long-Term ROI Measurement*. MSI Working Paper.
11. Järvinen, J., & Karjaluoto, H. (2015). The use of digital analytics for B2B marketing measurement. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 50, 117–129.
12. Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2022). *Marketing Management* (16th ed.). Pearson.
13. Kumar, V., & Petersen, A. (2012). Role of retailer lifetime value in B2B growth strategies. *Journal of Marketing*, 76(3), 76–93.

14. Lenskold, J. (2011). *Marketing ROI: The Path to Campaign, Customer, and Corporate Profitability*. McGraw-Hill.
15. LinkedIn Marketing Solutions. (2023). *B2B Digital Advertising Benchmark Report*.
16. McKinsey & Company. (2022). *Marketing Mix Modeling in Omnichannel Environments*.
17. McKinsey & Company. (2023). *Data Integration and Analytics in B2B Commercial Organizations*.
18. NielsenIQ. (2021). *Channel Performance Measurement in Distribution Networks*.
19. Payne, A., & Frow, P. (2017). CRM strategy and return evaluation. *Journal of Relationship Marketing*, 16(2), 67–90.
20. Rust, R. T., Moorman, C., & Bhalla, G. (2010). *Rethinking Marketing*. Harvard Business Review.
21. Salesforce. (2024). *CRM Impact Report: ROI and Productivity in B2B Sales*.
22. Wiersema, F. (2013). The B2B agenda: The current state of B2B marketing. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 42(4), 460–468.



# Marketing

*ilmiy, amaliy va ommabop jurnali*

**Muharrir:**

**Ingliz tili muharriri:**

**Rus tili muharriri:**

**Musahhih:**

**Sahifalovchi va dizaynerlar:**

Xakimov Ziyodulla Axmadovich

Tursunov Boburjon Ortiqmirzayevich

Kaxramonov Xurshidjon Shuxrat o'g'li

Karimova Shirin Zoxid qizi

Sadikov Shoxrux Shuxratovich

Abidjonov Nodirbek Odijon o'g'li

**2026-yil, yanvar, 1-son**

© Materiallar ko'chirib bosilganda "Marketing" ilmiy, amaliy va ommabop jurnali manba sifatida ko'rsatilishi shart. Jurnalda bosilgan material va reklamalardagi dalillarning aniqligiga mualliflar mas'ul. Tahririyat fikri har vaqt ham mualliflar fikriga mos kelavermasligi mumkin. Tahririyatga yuborilgan materiallar qaytarilmaydi.

Mazkur jurnalda maqolalar chop etish uchun quyidagi havolalarga murojaat qilish mumkin. Ilmiy maqola, ommabop maqola, reklama, hikoya va boshqa ilmiy-ijodiy materiallar yuborishingiz mumkin.

Materiallar va reklamalar pullik asosda chop etiladi.

Elektron pochta:

[info@marketingjournal.uz](mailto:info@marketingjournal.uz)

Tel.:

+998977838464, +998939266610

Jurnalning rasmiy sayti: <https://marketingjournal.uz>

Marketing jurnali O'zbekiston Respublikasi Oliy ta'lim, fan va innovatsiyalar vazirligi huzuridagi **Oliy attestatsiya komissiyasi rayosatining 2024-yil 04-oktabrdagi 332/5 sonli qarori** bilan milliy ilmiy nashrlar ro'yxatiga kiritilgan



"Marketing" ilmiy, amaliy va ommabop jurnali 2024-yil 15-martdan O'zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidenti Administratsiyasi huzuridagi Axborot va ommaviy kommunikatsiyalar agentligi tomonidan **C-5669517** reyestr raqami tartibi bo'yicha ro'yxatdan o'tkazilgan. **Litsenziya raqami: №240874**



"Marketing" ilmiy, amaliy va ommabop jurnalining xalqaro darajasi: **9710**. GOCT 7.56-2002 " Seriyali nashrlarning xalqaro standart raqamlanishi" davlatlataro standartlari talablari. **Berilgan ISSN tartib raqami: 3060-4621**